

## **Minutes of the EaP CSF Steering Committee Meeting**

**Minsk, April 12-13 2018**

**In attendance:** Steering Committee members Krzysztof Bobinski, Ina Coseru, Laura Dittel, Ziya Guliyev, Aleksandra Kalatozishvili, Mikalai Kvantiliani, Petru Macovei, Hennadiy Maksak, Boris Navasardian, Ana Otilia Nutu, Lasha Tughushi

**Absent:** Haykuhi Harutyunyan, Goda Neverauskaite, Boris Navasardian (part of Day 1)

**Secretariat:** Communications Manager Darya Mustafayeva, Advocacy Manager Vera Rihackova, Director Natalia Yerashevich.

- 1. The agenda of the meeting has been adopted without changes.**
- 2. Discussion of the negotiations of the new EC grant to the Forum and the requirements from the EU side**

The Secretariat provided the summary of the latest developments and issues raised by DG NEAR during the negotiation of the new grant: governance issues and the lack of reform after Tallinn AA, more alignment with new EaP architecture and the need to strengthen CSF expertise, especially in some areas (Transport, R&D).

*The SC reiterated that the issue of Forum's independence is critical, and one of the solutions is diversification of funding. The SC has to develop a comprehensive answer to the criticism. The SC members expressed the concern that there are no speaking slots for the Forum representatives at some EaP platforms and panels, and the information about the EaP events comes rather late, which limits the time for preparation. The SC stressed the openness to reform and that the weaknesses in the governance structure will be addressed by the internal reform to be adopted at the next annual assembly. It has been underlined that the visibility of the Forum needs to be improved and the SC needs to meet more often with the EU stakeholders, including Commissioner Hahn. The involvement of the EC into the selection and regranting procedures of the Forum has to be transparent.*

### **Decisions:**

--A letter will be drafted clarifying the SC position explaining that the Forum is a stakeholder representing independent civil society that holds the governments and the EU accountable; thus it should maintain its autonomy and independence. The content of the letter to be sent by the SC to Commissioner Johannes Hahn has been discussed and agreed. The draft version of the letter sent before the SC meeting by the Co-chair will be adjusted. The letter is not a public document. A meeting with Commissioner Hahn will be requested. The conceptual debate about the Forum has to be carried out by the Steering Committee, not the Secretariat. A wider group of EU stakeholders will be addressed and different angles of the Forum concerns presented. Meetings for several SC members will be organized in Brussels after receiving the response to the letter.

#### *Stakeholders suggested for further meetings:*

DG NEAR: Katarina Mathernova, Sinziana Poiana, Diana Jablonska, Lawrence Meredith

EEAS: Audrone Perkauskiene, Martha Wytrykowska, Luc Devigne, Dirk Schuebel

Cabinet of Commissioner Johannes Hahn

Cabinet of VP/HR Federica Mogherini

EURONEST PA Co-chair Rebecca Harms

Coordinators of the EaP Platforms

Donors in Brussels – OSF, EED

EaP ambassadors of the EU member states

--In relation to the point on diversification of funding, the possibility of funding from the EU MSs was raised. It was proposed to establish a fundraising task force out of the SC to support the Secretariat. The following priorities for specific projects will be pursued: Gender, Media, DCFTAs.

### **3. Registration of the Secretariat of the Belarus NP by the EaP CSF Secretariat**

The SC has tasked the Secretariat to explore the legal and financial consequences of this solution; the Secretariat will report at the next SC meeting and the SC will take a decision.

### **4. Discussion based on the 2018 policy campaign document**

The further debate of the policy campaign document (result of the brainstorming at the last SC meeting) took place. The document has been finalized, dividing actions and responsibilities for specific campaigns. Please see Annex 1

### **5. Discussion on the main EaP CSF messages 2018 and image campaign**

The campaign is designed to promote a positive image of the Forum and restoring its picture while reflecting on the 10th anniversary of the Forum establishment. It was suggested to conduct interviews with the founding members of the Forum from 2009 to support the message of the Forum as independent organisation of the civil society

Other suggestions: Improving the image with the EU decision-makers by conducting a survey on types of products from the Forum that would be useful for the stakeholders (monthly, weekly briefings on specific issues, etc.); to better impact the policy cycle with various products and activities; to improve the websites of the National Platforms and unify the visual and visibility actions; to collect and publish the success stories from region in the EU media; to focus on topics that might be interested for EU citizens – money laundering, migration, from the perspective of EaP countries

### **6. Annual Assembly (key panels/topics)**

The Steering Committee decided to conduct the **10<sup>th</sup> EaP CSF Annual Assembly in Tbilisi, Georgia on 6-7 December due to** the fact that Georgia is one of the outstanding success stories in the EaP in terms of reforms as well as civil society-government cooperation and having AA in Tbilisi would allow in-depth learning/sharing our practices. Moreover, the event will follow the Georgian presidential election in October and would send a strong message to the newly-elected President about the importance of the support and engagement of the Georgian civil society. The symbolism of the 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the national independence in five out of the six EaP countries and several EU countries will be explored as one of the major topics with one of the panels/discussions dedicated to it. Tbilisi as a venue would be particularly symbolic since the Transcaucasian Federation was proclaimed there 100 years ago and it could send a message of peace and of unity among the civil society in the region. This location was also chosen as the most cost-effective option on the table.

Bearing in mind the focus of the event the possibilities to invite the newly elected Georgian president, Ukrainian president Poroshenko, Lithuanian president Grybauskaitė, Council of Europe's Human Rights Commissioner Dunja Mijatovic, Euronest PA co-chairs, EU Member States representatives, senior EaP officials, DG NEAR and EEAS will be explored. Taking into account the tight schedule of Commissioner Hahn on those dates it was decided to request an official video address.

### **7. Internal reform discussion**

Two consultants working on the internal reform has presented a proposal developed based on the work done in 2017 and interviews with the members and stakeholders and review of the Forum's documents. The SC members have expressed their opinion on the reform ideas suggested. The consultants will develop the next proposal based on the received input by mid-May.

#### **8. Joint discussion on WG meetings: updates of WG Coordinators on the plans for the meetings and discussion**

The template developed by the Secretariat for the Working Group Meetings was presented to the Steering Committee. It was decided that the WG Coordinators will consult with the WG Council members on the focus for the skills training component suggested in the template. The training needs of the WGs members to be identified in the process.

It was also decided to change the dates of the WG4 Meeting to 3-4 July to allow the members to attend the Youth Panel on 5 July, and the dates of the WG3 Meeting to 6-7 July to allow the members to attend the Energy Panel.

#### **9. Joint discussion on re-granting (re-granting to NPs, selection process for re-granting to WGs)**

The Director of the Secretariat Natalia Yerashevich presented the draft rules for re-granting to National Platform (NPs).

The following was decided:

- All the National Platforms (NPs) should have codes of ethics at the moment of application
- Selection Committee to be renamed Evaluation Committee
- Conflict of Interest regulations should be put in place
- The text of the calls to be developed as soon as possible
- NPs should start preparing for the re-granting by registering Secretariats if possible/reasonable and adjusting to meet other criteria
- Training sessions on the new re-granting scheme to be organised

EaP CSF Re-granting to WGs was discussed, including the procedure for approving external evaluators. In this context the division of responsibilities between the SC and Secretariat and the issue of accountability before the members as well as selection criteria were raised again, especially in the framework of the negotiations with the European Commission where the Secretariat serves as the transmitter of the information. The SC expressed its concern that the selection of external evaluators, based on the procedure approved at the SC Meeting in Vilnius in July 2017, was conducted solely by the Secretariat without the involvement of the SC. Alexandra Kalatozishvili has raised a concern about the situation that happened during the discussion of the WG4 projects for regranting when there was a strong difference of positions within the evaluation committee. It was agreed to discuss the regranting to WGs at the next SC meeting.

It was decided that since conflict of interest issues arise often in various contexts meetings between the SC members and the Commission should be organised to find a common ground.

#### **10. Selection of the attendees for the AA**

Natalia Yerashevich presented the regular selection process and indicated the need to adjust the numbers of attendees per WG to ensure the necessary expertise corresponding to the EaP platforms and panels in line with the new grant and the requirements of the EC. There will be 20 observers assigned by the EU on top of the EaP CSF delegates.

It was agreed by the SC to follow the figures below:

160 delegates (120 from EaP and 40 from the EU)

WG1 56 (7 from each EaP plus 14 from EU)

WG2 30 (4 from each EaP plus 6 from EU)

WG3 30 (4 from each EaP plus 6 from EU)

WG4 37 (5 from each EaP plus 7 from EU)

WG5 11 (1 from each EaP plus 5 from EU)

Out of 20 attendees per country, NP decides on 17 participants and 3 slots for observers/experts are filled by the SC to ensure expertise in the areas of the EaP Platforms and panels.

#### **11. Next SC Meeting**

It was decided to conduct the next SC Meeting in July in Brussels. The doodle poll for this month to be distributed among the members.

## Annex 1

### **EaP CSF Campaign goals 2018**

At its meeting on January 24-25, 2018 in Brussels the EaP CSF Steering Committee provided policy guidance and prioritization of goals for 2018 based on draft advocacy strategy, resulting in **five thematic policy campaigns** with clear goals and targets (SMART+C; specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, timed and challenging) and **one enabling campaign**. The campaigns allow for improved strategic planning of advocacy and communication activities at various Forum' s levels, as well as for better use of resources and tools. The final version of the document was adopted at the EaP CSF Steering Committee in Minsk on April 12-13, 2018.

## Annual Plan for 2018

<b>Campaign 1 - Enabling campaign</b>					
<b>Campaign Goal 1: Meaningful participation of the EaP CSF in the EaP architecture</b>				<b>Oversight: The Steering Committee</b>	
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>
<p><b>EaP CSF's Permanent observer statute</b> not reviewed every year and applied to most levels of the multilateral process (SOM, EAP Summit)</p>	<p>DG NEAR – Diana Jablonska, Katarina Mathernova</p> <p>EEAS – Marta Wytrykowska, Nils Janssons, Audrone Perkauskiene</p> <p>EU member states (COEST, EaP ambassadors)</p> <p>EaP governments</p>	<p>Advocacy meetings in Brussels, EU capitals, EaP capitals</p>	<p>Systematic participation</p> <p>Possibility to raise sensitive issues without a backlash</p>	<p>The Steering Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>	<p>Brussels-based office of the Secretariat</p> <p>SC meetings</p> <p>Individual meetings of SC members in their countries</p>
<p><b>HR-based approach</b> as well as <b>gender mainstreaming</b> as guiding principles stated in the EaP Platform and Panels' Work Plans (2018-2020) – for EaP CSF future reference to those topics</p>	<p>DG NEAR – Diana Jablonska, Katarina Mathernova</p> <p>EEAS – Marta Wytrykowska, Audrone Perkauskiene</p> <p>EU member states (COEST, EaP ambassadors)</p>	<p>Advocacy meetings in Brussels, EU capitals</p> <p>Policy brief on work plans (drafted and distributed to the stakeholders)</p>	<p>Cross-cutting deliverables need to be clearly spelled out in the work programmes as they are not reflected in the new EaP architecture</p>	<p>The Steering Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>	<p>Brussels-based office of the Secretariat</p> <p>SC meetings</p> <p>Individual meetings of the SC members</p>
<p><b>Review and monitoring of 20 Deliverables for 2020</b> (commitments, timetable, HR)</p>	<p>EU institutions</p> <p>EaP Governments</p> <p>DG NEAR – Diana Jablonska, Katarina Mathernova</p> <p>EEAS – Marta Wytrykowska, Audrone Perkauskiene</p>	<p>20 Deliverables scoreboard – possibly a project to be developed and methodology/EaP Index - partly</p> <p>Sequence of implementation steps for (selected?) deliverables – policy brief on the Work plans</p> <p>Monitoring by the NPs</p>	<p>To be developed based on monitoring and review (lack of HR-based approach, etc.)</p>	<p>The Secretariat</p> <p>National Platforms</p> <p>Working Groups</p>	<p>Regranting – 20 deliverables scoreboard project (possibly 2019, not submitted in 2018)</p> <p>UA monitoring regularly until 2020, fundraising for other EaP countries; budget</p> <p>EaP Index - partly</p> <p>Expert fees</p>

					National Platforms expertise and funding (own funding in 2018; funding via Secretariat as of 2019)
<b>Campaign Goal 2: Meaningful dialogue on bilateral issues with the EaP governments and the EU stakeholders</b>			<b>Oversight: The Steering Committee</b>		
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>
<p>Introduction of <b>effective trilateral formats</b> -the civil society as empowered partner</p> <p>CEPA Roadmap is developed with CSOs engagement in a transparent way</p> <p>CEPA civil society bilateral platform is treated as equal partner – MoU on trilateral meeting format NP/EEAS/RA gov</p>	<p>EEAS and EU Delegations</p> <p>Sectoral DGs of the EC</p> <p>EaP governments</p> <p>European Parliament</p> <p>RA gov</p>	<p>Country focus – CEPA implementation for AM, coordination and sectoral meetings for BY, NPD with the participation of CSF representative through the whole meeting</p> <p>Policy focus – trilateral formats already happening on environmental governance for example (2017 regranting project)</p> <p>Meetings in AM and Brussels</p> <p>Involvement to CEPA Roadmap drafting process (until June 2018)</p> <p>Providing the Secretariat with briefings for EEAS meetings</p>	<p>Meaningful involvement of the civil society with genuine impact and access to policy making</p>	<p>NP Coordinators, NP Facilitators</p> <p>WG Coordinators</p> <p>The Steering Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>	<p>Advocacy in Brussels and in each of the EaP country (2018 – NPs own funding, starting 2019 – funding via Secretariat)</p>
<p>Promoting EU-partner countries <b>civil society cooperation groups</b> as backing for bilateral</p>	<p>EEAS</p> <p>RA government</p>	<p>Meetings in AM and Brussels</p> <p>Involvement to CEPA Roadmap drafting process (until June 2018)</p>	<p>Strong ownership of CEPA</p> <p>Facilitating the transfer of knowledge</p>	<p>AM NP</p> <p>The Steering Committee</p>	<p>Advocacy budget</p> <p>AM NP resources</p>

platforms – probably currently relevant for Armenia only in relation to the formation of the civil society platform under CEPA. Link to the increased engagement of the current EU CSOs into the CSF’s work		Link to Partnership for Development?			
<b>Azerbaijan</b> EU-AZ agreement negotiations – strong reference to HR and clear suspension mechanism (via EP Report – relevant in March-May, report to be voted on May 16)	European Parliament – individual MEPs (Rapporteur Norica Nikolai, Pavel Telicka, Marietje Schaake – ALDE, EPP, SD)  EEAS	Input from the NP  Coalition-building with other NGOs in Brussels, joint approach and lobbying	HR guarantees and possible suspension are needed as a leverage over the AZ gov that wants to have the agreement	Assigned Steering Committee members  The Secretariat	Brussels-based office of the Secretariat  Individual meetings of the SC members
<b>Belarus</b> Launching platform on Partnership Priorities implementation. Creation of a roadmap for Partnership Priorities implementation, as soon as they are adopted – official document of the government to be consulted with the civil society.	EEAS European Commission  Government of Belarus	BNP meetings and expert input		BNP	BNP resources The Secretariat supports advocacy part

<b>Campaign 1 - Enabling campaign</b>					
<b>Campaign Goal 3: To improve the enabling environment for CSOs in the Eastern Partnership countries</b>				<b>Oversight: The Steering Committee</b>	
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>

<p>Sustainable financing of CSOs and of the EaP CSF NPs</p> <p>Tackling the issue of TA projects and the way how the tenders/EU procurement are drafted (without CSOs)</p>	<p>DG NEAR</p> <p>EU Delegations</p> <p>Other donors (EU member states, EaP governments for GE and UA)</p>	<p>Letter on civil society funding in the EaP to Katarina Mathernova – overall EC approach to the civil society</p> <p>Letters supporting the NPs in their fundraising activities, on NPs request</p> <p>Using the technical assistance projects for capacity building of NPs – meetings with the EU Delegations and in Brussels</p> <p>Link the issue to 20 Deliverables for 2020 – civil society cross cutting priority - 6 large scale projects</p> <p>NPs: Fundraising strategy to be developed for individual NPs, need to share the strategies that are developed</p> <p>Funding from the Secretariat as of 2019</p>	<p>Quality product, Equal representation , Invest in democracy</p> <p>Structure build from the impetus of the EC</p>	<p>National Platforms</p> <p>The Steering Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>	<p>The Secretariat grant (as of 2019 for NPs)</p> <p>Capacity-building</p> <p>National Platforms resources</p>
<p><b>Armenia</b></p> <p>Withdraw from the legislation undue restrictions for commercial activities</p> <p>Acknowledgement of the role of CSOs and struggle against negative campaign</p>	<p>RA gov</p> <p>EEAS</p> <p>EU Delegation</p>	<p>Testing the law on defamation and push the RA gov to strengthen the legal regulation on defamation</p> <p>Push the EU to be more vocal on CSOs regulation</p> <p>CSOs should better</p>		<p>AM NP</p>	<p>AM NP</p> <p>The Secretariat supports advocacy part</p>

		communicate the results of their work			
<b>Azerbaijan</b> Freedom for political prisoners on the occasion of 100 anniversary	EEAS  EU Council – Donald Tusk  European Commission  European Parliament, PCC	Communicating further on A. Mukhtarli case  Via informal coordination group of NGOs in Brussels  Platform 1  EP Report on AZ	Freedom for political prisoners on the occasion of 100 anniversary  No revolving door policy	The Steering Committee  AZ NP  The Secretariat	Brussels-based office of the Secretariat – advocacy meetings  AZ NP  Individual meetings of the SC members
<b>AA/DCFTA countries</b> European perspective for Georgia, Moldova and Ukraine	EEAS  EU member states	Raised in speeches at the ministerial meetings; raised in the meetings with the EU stakeholders  Working with the member states blocking the formulation of the perspective in the Summit declaration, finding the arguments, monitoring their position  EaP CSF position to initiatives like customs union, Schengen	Recognition of the European perspective  Bring Europe home, don't run to Europe (MD)  Messages tailored for each meeting	The Steering Committee  The NPs  The Secretariat	The NPs The Secretariat supports advocacy part
<b>Belarus</b> Simplifying the procedure of grant/foreign aid registration and use Notifying principle of NGO registration Abolishing criminal liability for activities of unregistered organisations	BY gov  EEAS  DG NEAR	Producing a report on the issues  Suggesting solutions  Can also be part of our comments/review on 20 deliverables and of the policy brief on the Work Plans	Using the messaging linked to the 2019 European Games in Minsk? (something like "Sports for rights" campaign?)	BY NP  The Secretariat	BY NP project  Brussels-based office of the Secretariat – advocacy meetings
<b>Georgia</b> Increase institutional	Local authorities	Concluding of Memorandums of cooperation	Enhanced engagement of civil society	GE NP	GE NP

cooperation with local authorities		with local authorities in the areas where GE NP has its branches  Establishing new branches	in the solution of locally acute problems  Europeanization via decentralization		
<b>Moldova</b> Set-up early warning mechanism (attempts of government to amend legislation, GONGOs, etc.)  Campaign of the NP in the regions during the Europe day	MD Gov EU Delegation	Link to 20 Deliverables for 2020 – Del 1 – target 3 (funded from Civil Society Facility) Set-up in country mechanism – notifying on cases, elaboration of monitoring methodology, producing reports, statements etc.)	To be developed based on monitoring	MD NP The Steering Committee	MD NP Technical Assistance project in MD

<b>Campaign 2 – Policy Campaign</b>					
<b>Campaign Goal: Justice Reform</b>			<b>Oversight: WG1 coordinators</b>		
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>
Independence of judges from political forces  Independent system of distribution of cases  CSOs to represent citizens directly at courts (AM)  Strengthening watchdog role of CSOs	EaP governments  EaP Panel Rule of Law, EaP Platform 1  EEAS	Identifying judges and prosecutors under the political influence  EU-EaP HR dialogues and other consultations organised by EEAS  GNP policy paper on situation in GE, promoting role models		NP Platforms  WG1  The Secretariat	The Secretariat supports advocacy  NPs  <b>No specific resources, if opportunity arises</b>
To promote implementation of GRECO, CoE and OECD recommendations (CEPEJ)	EaP governments EEAS	EU-EaP HR dialogues and other consultations organised by EEAS		NP Platforms  WG1  The Secretariat	The Secretariat supports advocacy  NPs

					<b>No specific resources, if opportunity arises</b>
Anticorruption courts – UA Public court hearings in cases of high corruption - MD	UA gov MD gov	EU-EaP HR dialogues and other consultations organised by EEAS		WG1 anticorruption SWG UA NP MD NP	Partly - 2018 regranting project on combatting corruption and promotion of open governance  UA NP MD NP
Independence and security of lawyers, bar association reform – AM, AZ, BY	AM, AZ and BY gov EU stakeholders  CoE Dunja Mijatovic, HR Commissioner	EU-EaP HR dialogues and other consultations organised by EEAS  Review of regulation of bar association, AZ NP, translation to EN	Ombudsman for bar association	NP Platforms  WG1 The Secretariat	The Secretariat supports advocacy  NPs  <b>No specific resources, if opportunity arises</b>
More transparency and public data in judiciary process					<b>No resources, if opportunity arises</b>
Independent mechanism for investigation of violations of law within the law enforcement bodies	EaP governments EEAS	EU-EaP HR dialogues and other consultations organised by EEAS		NPs	The Secretariat supports advocacy  NPs  <b>No specific resources, if opportunity arises</b>
Elaborate a form of periodic reporting on justice reform implementation	EaP governments			CSOs and watchdogs	The Secretariat supports advocacy  NPs  <b>No specific resources, if opportunity arises</b>

<b>Campaign 3 – Policy campaign</b>					
<b>Campaign Goal: Youth Employability – promoting entrepreneurship and non-discrimination at labour market</b> (EaP Youth Conference on Youth Entrepreneurship in Vienna to be organised in November 2018)				<b>Oversight: WG4, WG2 and WG5 Coordinators</b>	
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>
Stimulation of innovation and creativity – start-ups for youth	EaP, Russian, Turkish and EU youth  DG EAC DG NEAR	EaP Youth Conference on Youth Entrepreneurship in Vienna (November 2018)  Contributing to Youth employment roadmap and Youth engagement roadmap  Coalition with Youth Forum  Policy paper (regranting project)  Summer school for young entrepreneurs (regranting project)  Board game on entrepreneurial skills (regranting project)  Trainings, workshops, TED talks (Youth conference in Vienna)  Meeting with stakeholders and successful entrepreneurs (Youth conference in Vienna)	Based on research developed within the regranting project	WG4, WG5, WG2 The Secretariat The regranting projects consortia	2 WG4 2018 Re-granting projects on youth entrepreneurship designed as preparation for the Vienna conference (research, summer school, selection process)  DG NEAR funding for the EaP CSF Youth Conference in Vienna  Austrian EU Presidency

**Campaign 4 – Policy Campaign**

<b>Campaign Goal: Strategic Communication</b> (cross-cutting deliverable of 20 deliverables for 2020)			<b>Oversight: WG1 Coordinators</b>		
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>
Measurable visibility targets for the EU (communication on EaP as regional initiative, promotion of the general progress in all 6 countries)	EEAS EaP gov	Developing recommendation based on existing best experience and researches	Awareness raising on EaP needs to be improved, disinformation further counteracted	CSF, organizations dealing with disinformation and awareness raising on EaP	No resources for new products, however several resource material exists, if opportunity arises they can be further processed
Prevention of media engagement in manipulation against European values	EaP gov EEAS DG NEAR	The side event to the launch of the EaP architecture on March 13  Policy papers elaborated within the regranting project  WG1 meeting-advocacy	To be developed based on the regranting project in the second half of 2018		WG1 meeting – advocacy  The Secretariat supports advocacy  <b>No specific resources, if opportunity arises</b>
Public awareness building on EU oriented reforms in the EaP countries – realistic EU promotion campaign	Public broadcasters in EU and EaP countries, donors able to support pro-European content production	Developing specific policies based on Deliverable 3	Despite certain controversies EU EaP policies and processes in EaP have potential of achieving tangible results	Media in cooperation with CSF, NPs and broader civil society	<b>No resources, if opportunity arises</b>

<b>Campaign 5 – Policy Campaign</b>					
<b>Campaign Goal: Security – expanding area of cooperation among EU, EaP and NATO within the new security initiative</b>			<b>Oversight: WG1 and WG3 Coordinators</b>		
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>
Activation of the security-related articles in AA/DCFTAs for the three countries	EU member states EEAS European Commission	Project activities – policy paper, conference in Bucharest  CSDP Panel		WG1, TTF consortium  The steering Committee  The Secretariat	Possibly 2018 re-granting project – Think Tank Forum on security

					The Secretariat supports advocacy
To elaborate on windows of opportunities in a wide line of new security and defense initiatives to include them into the framework programme of CSDP panel	EU member states EEAS European Commission	Project activities – policy paper, conference in Bucharest  CSDP Panel		WG1, TTF  The steering Committee  The Secretariat	2018 re-granting project – Think Tank Forum on security  The Secretariat supports advocacy
To deliver on security deliverables within 20 for 2020 (for all six countries)	EU member states EEAS European Commission EaP gov	How the CSOs can be involved  Focus on security and gender  Focus on priorities set in CSDP panel Work plan		WG1, TTF  The steering Committee  The Secretariat	Possibly 2018 re-granting project – Think Tank Forum on security  The Secretariat supports advocacy
Security System reform					Possibly 2018 re-granting project – Think Tank Forum on security  The Secretariat supports advocacy
Cyber security					Possibly 2018 re-granting project – Think Tank Forum on security
International justice as counteraction to Russian aggression Russian occupation and violation of HR on occupied territories	EEAS HR stakeholders in Brussels EU member states	Monitoring the HR situation in the occupied territories  Articles, op eds  Short briefing papers		GNP The Secretariat	<b>No resources, if opportunity arises</b>  The Secretariat supports advocacy

Energy security – Interconnectivity and market reform	DG ENER DG NEAR EEAS	Policy paper Advocacy activities within the regranting project framework	Based on the regranting project	WG3 The Secretariat	WG3 2018 regranting project on Transparent energy trading in secessionist regions
Contributing to conflict resolution through track 2 dialogues	EEAS, national governments, elected authorities of conflict regions, general public	Mapping the possibilities of CSF and broader civil society and role of EU and EaP framework in supporting them	New approaches in peace-making are needed to contribute to security in wider Europe	CSOs, Think tanks	Think Tank Forum regranting project, if possible other research projects

<b>Campaign 6 – Policy campaign</b>					
<b>Campaign Goal: Bologna process - Belarus Roadmap for higher education reform extension and continuation of AG2</b>			<b>Oversight: Belarusian National Platform</b>		
<b>Target</b>	<b>Audience/Target Group</b>	<b>Activities</b>	<b>Message</b>	<b>Messenger/Implementer</b>	<b>Resources</b>
To prolong BFUG AG2 on Belarus roadmap in possibly enlarged format by 2020	AG2 members BFUG members MEPs of the CULT Committee (Resolution on Bologna implementation) – EPP Bogdan Zdrojewski, Michaela Sojdrova; SD – Petra Kamerverent, Kristyna Lybacka	Advocating BFUG meeting in January and February 2018 – policy one pager with main arguments on why to extend the roadmap  Letter to BFUG ministers- the open letter from CSOs and students should well describe the major issues and reasons for prolonging the work of AG2 (building up on the one pager)  Advocating selected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Belarus should remain on the EHEA agenda as a special case</li> <li>The oversight (BFUG AG2) of the implementation of the Belarus Roadmap for higher education reform should be extended until 2020.</li> <li>Belarus should not fall into the group of</li> </ul>	Messages to BFUG delivered via ESU (Adama Gajek) and some members of AG2  BY NP and Independent Bologna Committee – MEP Zdrojewski  The Secretariat - MEPs Sojdrova, Lybacka	BY NP and Independent Bologna Committee  The Secretariat

		<p>ministers of education individually</p> <p>Building coalition with ESU and other AG2 stakeholders</p> <p>Meetings with DG EAC</p> <p>To draft and promote amendments to the draft resolution on Belarus and need to prolong the AG2 work, as well as on EC funding (DG NEAR) for the work of AG2</p>	<p>non-compliant countries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EC funding (DG NEAR) for the work of AG2</li> </ul>		
To participate in the May 2018 Bologna Ministerial Conference	<p>BFYG Secretariat</p> <p>BFUG members</p>	<p>Letter to BFUG Secretariat</p> <p>Lobbying the BFUG members</p>		<p>BY NP and Independent Bologna Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>	<p>BY NP and Independent Bologna Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>
To lobby EC/DG NEAR and the World Bank to fund the work of AG2 after the Ministerial Conference in May 2018	<p>DG NEAR –Diana Jablonska</p> <p>World Bank - Nina Arnhold, <a href="mailto:narnhold@worldbank.org">narnhold@worldbank.org</a>.</p> <p>Senior Education Specialist and Human Development Sector Coordinator for Central Europe and the Baltics</p>	<p>Emails and meetings</p> <p>Coalition with DG EAC (Kamila Partyka)</p>		<p>BY NP and Independent Bologna Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>	<p>BY NP and Independent Bologna Committee</p> <p>The Secretariat</p>